Elastic Classification, Acoustic Birefringence, and Born Stability in the 24-Dimensional Niemeier Landscape

SRFP311T1 Collaboration

September 2026

Abstract

While the Leech lattice Λ_{24} possesses strictly isotropic continuum elasticity governed by a single modulus, the macroscopic elasticity across the twenty-three rooted Niemeier crystals $\mathbb{R}^{24}/N(R)$ exhibits directional anisotropy. In this work, we resolve the continuum elastic landscape across all twenty-four even unimodular lattices of rank 24.

First, we examine the long-wavelength elastic stability of Niemeier Bravais lattices under central pair potentials $V(r) = f(r^2) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{elast}}$ satisfying $f'' > 0$. We prove the **Conditional Born Positivity Theorem**: at a mechanical equilibrium where the initial Cauchy stress tensor vanishes identically ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$), the microscopic strain energy functional reduces to an exact sum of non-negative squares $U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}\neq\mathbf{0}} f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)(\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R})^2 \geq 0$. Because every Niemeier lattice spans $\mathbb{R}^{24}$, this form vanishes if and only if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$, establishing positive definiteness of the elastic stiffness tensor ($C \succ 0$) and strictly positive acoustic sound speeds ($v_T(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) > 0, v_L(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) > 0$). We clarify why the negative tangent-space eigenvalues of isolated spherical root shells (Paper 3) do not imply negative long-wavelength elastic moduli for the corresponding infinite Bravais crystals.

Second, we classify the point-group invariant spaces of the elasticity tensor $\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^{24}))$ under $G_R = \mathrm{Aut}(N(R))$. The Leech lattice is the unique crystal with $d_{\text{elast}} = 2$ and Cauchy dimension $d_{\text{Cauchy}} = \dim \mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^{G_R} = 1$, whereas all twenty-three rooted crystals have $d_{\text{Cauchy}} \geq 2$, generating symmetry-allowed acoustic birefringence. Across all five Coxeter collision pairs sharing $h \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$, we prove the **Elastic Separation Theorem**: the Cauchy-reduced invariant dimensions are strictly distinct ($6 \neq 3$, $5 \neq 3$, $6 \neq 2$, $4 \neq 5$, $4 \neq 2$). Thus, continuum elasticity separates all collision classes at genus $g = 1$, in contrast to ordinary scalar Siegel modular forms which require genus $g = 4$. Finally, we derive exact acoustic birefringence formulas along canonical high-symmetry rays, verify the sum-of-squares positivity lemma in Lean 4, and provide an open-source Python verification suite.

Contents

1 Introduction and Program Architecture **3**
1.1 Summary of Main Results . . . 3

2 Bravais Lattice Elasticity and Born Positivity **4**
2.1 Microscopic Elastic Energy Functional . . . 4
2.2 The Prestress Correction and Reducible Point Groups . . . 5
2.3 The Born Elastic Positivity Theorem . . . 5

3 Point-Group Invariant Theory of Niemeier Elasticity **6**
3.1 Automorphism Groups and Invariant Tensor Spaces . . . 6
3.2 The Master Elastic Classification Table . . . 7

4 Macroscopic Elastic Separation of Coxeter Collision Pairs **8**

5 Analytical Acoustic Birefringence Formulas **9**

6 Formal Verification in Lean 4 **11**

7 Numerical Verification Suite in Python **12**

8 Discussion, Scope, and Outlook **13**
8.1 Physical Implications of Landscape Stability 13
8.2 Continuum vs. Automorphic Separation 13

1 Introduction and Program Architecture

In dimension 24, the classification of positive-definite even unimodular lattices, completed by Niemeier [6], comprises the unique rootless Leech lattice Λ_{24} and twenty-three rooted lattices $N(R)$ characterized by semi-simple root systems $R = \bigoplus_i X_i$ whose components share a common Coxeter number h [11, 3].

This work establishes the concluding layer of the 24-dimensional lattice dynamics research program:

1. **Paper 1 (Modular Forms and Siegel Kinematics [7]):** Proved that ordinary scalar Siegel theta series exhibit Coxeter-number rigidity for degrees $g \leq 3$, and established that pairwise separation across the five collision pairs ($h \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$) occurs strictly at genus $g_{\mathrm{sep}} = 4$ through ordered orthogonal 4-frames of roots $a(I_4)$.

2. **Paper 2 (Leech Shell Statics and Commutant Reduction [8]):** Solved the 4,520,880-dimensional Riemannian Hessian of the 196,560 minimal vectors of the Leech lattice on $(S^{23})^{196560}$ under $V(u) = u^{-2}$, proving commutant reduction $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Co}_0}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{12}$, ground state $\lambda_{\mathrm{ground}} = \frac{73073}{58982400}$, and screening ratio $\kappa = \frac{730}{3597}$.

3. **Paper 3 (Root Shell Stability Dichotomy [9]):** Proved that $A_1^{\oplus 24}$ is the unique rooted Niemeier lattice whose minimal shell of $N = 48$ roots is dynamically stable on the sphere ($H \succeq 0$, $\lambda_S = \frac{49}{128}$). All other twenty-two rooted shells contain adjacent roots at chordal distance squared $u = 2$ (inner product $+1$), generating negative single-particle stiffness ($\lambda_S < 0$) on the sphere.

4. **Paper 4 (Infinite Leech Crystal Dynamics and Elasticity [10]):** Transitioned from compact spherical shells to the infinite periodic crystal $\Lambda_{24} \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$. Proved that Venkov's 11-design theorem forces the continuum acoustic tensor to be strictly O(24)-isotropic, with zero-stress Cauchy reduction yielding $v_L/v_T = \sqrt{3}$ and design-controlled isotropy through order $\|\mathbf{k}\|^8$.

5. **Paper 5 (This Work: The 24-Dimensional Crystal Landscape):** Solves the macroscopic elasticity, point-group invariant theory, acoustic birefringence, and long-wavelength stability across all twenty-four infinite periodic crystals $\mathbb{R}^{24}/N(R)$.

1.1 Summary of Main Results

The main results established in this paper are:

1. **Conditional Born Elastic Positivity (Theorem 2.2):** Under any admissible potential $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{elast}}$ with $f'' > 0$ at a zero-stress equilibrium state ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$), the microscopic strain energy functional is an exact sum of squares over lattice vectors:

$$U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) \big(\mathbf{R}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{R}\big)^2 \geq 0, \tag{1}$$

which vanishes if and only if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$. Consequently, the continuum elastic stiffness tensor is strictly positive definite ($C \succ 0$), guaranteeing positive acoustic sound speeds ($v_T > 0, v_L > 0$) near $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. We show that the spherical shell instability found in Paper 3 does not imply negative elastic moduli for the Bravais crystal.

2. **Elastic Point-Group Classification (Theorem 3.1):** We classify the elasticity tensor space dimension $d_{\mathrm{elast}}(R) := \dim \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{Aut}(N(R))}$ and the Cauchy-reduced subspace dimension $d_{\mathrm{Cauchy}}(R) := \dim \mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^{\mathrm{Aut}(N(R))}$ across all twenty-four vacua. The Leech lattice Λ_{24} is the unique crystal with $d_{\mathrm{elast}} = 2$ and $d_{\mathrm{Cauchy}} = 1$. All twenty-three rooted crystals have $d_{\mathrm{Cauchy}} \geq 2$, admitting directional anisotropy.

3. **The Elastic Separation Theorem (Theorem 4.1):** Across all five Coxeter collision pairs sharing identical Coxeter number $h \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$, the Cauchy-reduced invariant dimensions are strictly distinct:

$$d_{\text{Cauchy}}(R_1) \neq d_{\text{Cauchy}}(R_2), \tag{2}$$

proving that macroscopic continuum elasticity separates all collision classes at genus $g = 1$.

4. **Exact Acoustic Birefringence Formulas (Theorem 5.1):** We derive closed-form expressions for the directional acoustic splitting $\Delta v_T(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = v_{T,\text{fast}}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) - v_{T,\text{slow}}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})$ for the canonical vacua $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$ and $N(E_8^{\oplus 3})$, establishing a 7 vs 16 transverse polarization splitting for the latter.

2 Bravais Lattice Elasticity and Born Positivity

2.1 Microscopic Elastic Energy Functional

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be any of the twenty-four even unimodular lattices of rank 24, normalized such that $\det(\Lambda) = 1$, giving unit cell volume $v_c = 1$. The mass density with unit particle mass is $\rho = M/v_c = 1$.

We consider a central pair potential $V(r) = f(r^2) \in C^2((0, \infty))$ belonging to the continuum elasticity class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{elast}}$ defined in Paper 4:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \left(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2 |f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)| + \|\mathbf{R}\|^4 |f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)| \right) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Under an infinitesimal homogeneous displacement gradient $\partial u_i / \partial x_j = \varepsilon_{ij}$, where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^{24})$, the squared distance between two lattice sites evolves as:

$$\|\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{R}\|^2 + 2\mathbf{R}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \mathbf{R}. \tag{4}$$

Taylor expanding $f(\|\mathbf{R} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}\|^2)$ around $\|\mathbf{R}\|^2$:

$$f(\|\mathbf{R}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}\|^2) = f(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) + f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\left[2\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2\mathbf{R}\right] + 2f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\left(\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}\right)^2 + O(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|^3). \tag{5}$$

Summing over all non-zero lattice vectors $\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ yields the total elastic strain energy per unit volume:

$$U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) := \frac{E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) - E(\mathbf{0})}{v_c} = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{24} \sigma_{ij}^0 \varepsilon_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{24} C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{kl} + O(\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|^3), \tag{6}$$

where σ_{ij}^0 is the initial Cauchy stress tensor:

$$\sigma_{ij}^0 = -\frac{1}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) R_i R_j, \tag{7}$$

and C_{ijkl} is the microscopic Born stiffness tensor:

$$C_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \left[\delta_{ik} R_j R_l f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) + 2 R_i R_j R_k R_l f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) \right]. \tag{8}$$

The scalar hydrostatic pressure is $P_0 = -\frac{1}{24} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0) = -\frac{1}{24 v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \|\mathbf{R}\|^2 f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)$.

2.2 The Prestress Correction and Reducible Point Groups

In the classical theory of crystal elasticity [1, 12], eliminating the first-derivative contribution to the quadratic strain energy requires examining the weighted second-moment tensor:

$$M_f := \sum_{\mathbf{R}\in\Lambda\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^T = -v_c\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0. \tag{9}$$

The first-derivative term in the quadratic energy expansion Eq. (6) evaluates to:

$$\frac{1}{2v_c}\sum_{\mathbf{R}\neq\mathbf{0}} f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{2v_c}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 M_f) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0). \tag{10}$$

For crystals whose point group $G_R = \mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda)$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ (such as Λ_{24}, $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$, or $N(E_8^{\oplus 3})$), Schur's Lemma forces M_f to be a scalar matrix: $M_f = \frac{1}{24}\operatorname{tr}(M_f)I_{24} = -v_cP_0I_{24}$. In such crystals, vanishing hydrostatic pressure ($P_0 = 0$) implies $M_f = \mathbf{0}$.

However, for crystals whose root systems contain components of unequal rank (such as $D_{16}\oplus E_8$, $A_{17}\oplus E_7$, or $A_5^{\oplus 4}\oplus D_4$), the point group preserves a non-trivial direct-sum decomposition:

$$\mathbb{R}^{24} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \implies M_f = c_1 I_{V_1} \oplus c_2 I_{V_2}. \tag{11}$$

In these reducible settings, scalar pressure vanishing ($\operatorname{tr} M_f = 0$) only requires $\dim(V_1)c_1 + \dim(V_2)c_2 = 0$, which allows non-zero deviatoric prestress ($c_1 \neq 0$).

Therefore, to formulate a mathematically rigorous positivity theorem across all twenty-four lattices, we must specify the complete mechanical equilibrium condition:

Definition 2.1 (Zero-Stress Equilibrium)**.** A crystal configuration is at **zero-stress equilibrium** if the initial Cauchy stress tensor vanishes identically:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0} \iff M_f = \sum_{\mathbf{R}\in\Lambda\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} f'(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}^T = \mathbf{0}. \tag{12}$$

2.3 The Born Elastic Positivity Theorem

Theorem 2.2 (Conditional Born Elastic Positivity Theorem)**.** *Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be any of the twenty-four even unimodular lattices of rank 24. Suppose the crystal interacts via an admissible potential $f \in \mathcal{P}_{elast}$ at a zero-stress equilibrium state ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$), satisfying $f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) > 0$ for all non-zero lattice vectors.*

1. *The quadratic elastic strain energy functional is an exact sum of non-negative squares:*

$$\boxed{U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{v_c}\sum_{\mathbf{R}\in\Lambda\setminus\{\mathbf{0}\}} f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2)\big(\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}\big)^2 \geq 0.} \tag{13}$$

2. *$U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 0$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$.*

3. *Consequently, the microscopic elastic stiffness tensor C_{ijkl} is strictly positive definite:*

$$\boxed{C \succ 0 \quad on\ \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^{24}).} \tag{14}$$

All twenty-four Niemeier crystals satisfy the Born elastic stability criteria, and all acoustic sound speeds are strictly positive ($v_T(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) > 0, v_L(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) > 0$) near $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ for all propagation directions $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \in S^{23}$.

Proof. By hypothesis, the crystal is at zero-stress equilibrium ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$), which implies $M_f = \mathbf{0}$. Substituting $M_f = \mathbf{0}$ into Eq. (10), the first-derivative contribution vanishes identically.

The quadratic strain energy expansion Eq. (6) therefore reduces entirely to the second-derivative term:

$$U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{24} \left(\frac{2}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}\neq\mathbf{0}} f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) R_i R_j R_k R_l \right) \varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{kl} = \frac{1}{v_c} \sum_{\mathbf{R}\neq\mathbf{0}} f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{24} R_i \varepsilon_{ij} R_j \right)^2 . \tag{15}$$

Recognizing $\sum_{i,j} R_i\varepsilon_{ij}R_j = \mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}$ establishes Eq. (13). Because $f''(\|\mathbf{R}\|^2) > 0$ and each term $(\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R})^2 \geq 0$, we have $U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \geq 0$.

Now suppose $U(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 0$. Because each term in the sum is non-negative and $f'' > 0$, we must have:

$$\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{R} \in \Lambda \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}. \tag{16}$$

By the polarization identity for symmetric bilinear forms:

$$\mathbf{R}_1^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left((\mathbf{R}_1+\mathbf{R}_2)^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{R}_1+\mathbf{R}_2) - \mathbf{R}_1^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_1 - \mathbf{R}_2^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R}_2 \right). \tag{17}$$

Since $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in \Lambda \implies \mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2 \in \Lambda$, the right-hand side vanishes for all $\mathbf{R}_1, \mathbf{R}_2 \in \Lambda$. Because Λ is a lattice of full rank 24 in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$, its vectors span $\mathbb{R}^{24}$: $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{R}^{24}$. Choosing a basis $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{24}\} \subset \Lambda$, the condition $\mathbf{b}_i^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{b}_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 24$ forces the linear operator $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ to be identically zero.

Therefore, $\mathbf{R}^T\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\mathbf{R} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{R} \in \Lambda$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$, establishing that $C \succ 0$. $\square$

Remark 2.3 (Clarification on Spherical Shell Statics vs. Bravais Elasticity)**.** Theorem 2.2 resolves the relationship between Paper 3 and infinite lattice elasticity. In Paper 3, the negative Hessian eigenvalues ($\lambda_S < 0$) occurred on the compact configuration space $(S^{23}_{\sqrt{2}})^{24h}$ for an isolated shell of roots under chordal potential $V(u) = u^{-2}$. In that setting, displacements are constrained to the tangent space of the sphere, and adjacent roots at $u = 2$ create negative curvature.

In contrast, Theorem 2.2 governs the infinite Bravais crystal undergoing unconstrained macroscopic strain $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^{24})$ at zero Cauchy stress. The negative tangent-space eigenvalues found for isolated spherical root shells do not imply negative long-wavelength elastic moduli for the corresponding infinite Bravais crystals. Furthermore, Born elastic stability ($C \succ 0$) guarantees positive sound speeds near $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$; it does not by itself preclude finite-wavevector phonon instabilities at the Brillouin zone boundary.

3 Point-Group Invariant Theory of Niemeier Elasticity

3.1 Automorphism Groups and Invariant Tensor Spaces

For any rooted Niemeier lattice $N(R)$, the automorphism group is the semi-direct product [4, 3]:

$$G_R := \mathrm{Aut}(N(R)) = W(R) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(R, H), \tag{18}$$

where $W(R) = \prod_i W(X_i)$ is the direct product of the Weyl groups of the irreducible components X_i, and $\mathrm{Aut}(R, H)$ is the group of Dynkin diagram automorphisms and component permutations that preserve the glue code $H \leq A_R$. For the Leech lattice, $G_{\mathrm{Leech}} = \mathrm{Co}_0 = 2 \cdot \mathrm{Co}_1$.

The space of general elasticity tensors on $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ with Voigt symmetries is:

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{R}^{24})), \quad \dim \mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{24\times 25}{2}\right)\left(\frac{24\times 25}{2}+1\right) = \frac{300\times 301}{2} = 45{,}150. \tag{19}$$

Under central pairwise forces at zero-stress equilibrium, Theorem 2.2 shows that $C_{ijkl} = \frac{2}{v_c}\sum R_i R_j R_k R_l f''$ is completely symmetric under all permutations of (i,j,k,l). The space of such tensors is isomorphic to the space of degree-4 homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{24}$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{Cauchy}} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24}), \quad \dim \mathcal{E}_{\text{Cauchy}} = \binom{24+4-1}{4} = \binom{27}{4} = 17{,}550. \tag{20}$$

For any point group $G_R \subset \mathrm{O}(24)$, the dimensions of the symmetry-allowed invariant subspaces are:

$$d_{\text{elast}}(R) := \dim \mathcal{E}^{G_R}, \qquad d_{\text{Cauchy}}(R) := \dim \mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^{G_R}. \tag{21}$$

3.2 The Master Elastic Classification Table

Table 1 records the invariant dimensions for the twenty-four Niemeier point groups.

Lattice N	**Root System** R	**Coxeter** h	$\det R$	**Point Group** G_R	d_{elast}	d_{Cauchy}
Λ_{24}	$\emptyset$ (Leech Lattice)	0	1	$\mathrm{Co}_0 = 2 \cdot \mathrm{Co}_1$	**2**	**1**
$N(A_1^{24})$	$A_1^{\oplus 24}$	2	2^{24}	$2^{24} \rtimes M_{24}$	**3**	**2**
$N(A_2^{12})$	$A_2^{\oplus 12}$	3	3^{12}	$W(A_2)^{12} \rtimes 2.M_{12}$	**3**	**2**
$N(A_3^8)$	$A_3^{\oplus 8}$	4	4^8	$W(A_3)^8 \rtimes \mathrm{AGL}_3(2)$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_4^6)$	$A_4^{\oplus 6}$	5	5^6	$W(A_4)^6 \rtimes 2.\mathrm{PGL}_2(5)$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_5^4 D_4)$	$A_5^{\oplus 4} \oplus D_4$	6	5184	$(W(A_5)^4 \times W(D_4)) \rtimes S_4$	**8**	**6**
$N(D_4^6)$	$D_4^{\oplus 6}$	6	4^6	$W(D_4)^6 \rtimes 3.\mathrm{PGL}_2(5)$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_6^4)$	$A_6^{\oplus 4}$	7	7^4	$W(A_6)^4 \rtimes 2.S_4$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_7^2 D_5^2)$	$A_7^{\oplus 2} \oplus D_5^{\oplus 2}$	8	1024	$(W(A_7)^2 \times W(D_5)^2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$	**7**	**5**
$N(A_8^3)$	$A_8^{\oplus 3}$	9	729	$W(A_8)^3 \rtimes 2.S_3$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_9^2 D_6)$	$A_9^{\oplus 2} \oplus D_6$	10	400	$(W(A_9)^2 \times W(D_6)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$	**7**	**5**
$N(D_6^4)$	$D_6^{\oplus 4}$	10	256	$W(D_6)^4 \rtimes S_4$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_{11} D_7 E_6)$	$A_{11} \oplus D_7 \oplus E_6$	12	144	$W(A_{11}) \times W(D_7) \times W(E_6) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**9**	**6**
$N(E_6^4)$	$E_6^{\oplus 4}$	12	81	$W(E_6)^4 \rtimes 2.S_4$	**3**	**2**
$N(A_{12}^2)$	$A_{12}^{\oplus 2}$	13	169	$W(A_{12})^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2^2$	**5**	**4**
$N(D_8^3)$	$D_8^{\oplus 3}$	14	64	$W(D_8)^3 \rtimes S_3$	**4**	**3**
$N(A_{15} D_9)$	$A_{15} \oplus D_9$	16	64	$W(A_{15}) \times W(D_9) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**6**	**4**
$N(A_{17} E_7)$	$A_{17} \oplus E_7$	18	36	$W(A_{17}) \times W(E_7) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**6**	**4**
$N(D_{10} E_7^2)$	$D_{10} \oplus E_7^{\oplus 2}$	18	16	$W(D_{10}) \times W(E_7)^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**7**	**5**
$N(D_{12}^2)$	$D_{12}^{\oplus 2}$	22	16	$W(D_{12})^2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**5**	**4**
$N(A_{24})$	A_{24}	25	25	$W(A_{24}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**4**	**3**
$N(D_{16} E_8)$	$D_{16} \oplus E_8$	30	4	$W(D_{16}) \times W(E_8) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$	**5**	**4**
$N(E_8^3)$	$E_8^{\oplus 3}$	30	1	$W(E_8)^3 \rtimes S_3$	**3**	**2**
$N(D_{24})$	D_{24}	46	4	$W(D_{24})$	**4**	**3**

Table 1: The 24-dimensional master elasticity classification table. Dimensions $d_{\text{elast}} = \dim \mathcal{E}^{G_R}$ and $d_{\text{Cauchy}} = \dim \mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^{G_R}$ describe symmetry-allowed tensor spaces.

Theorem 3.1 (Elastic Classification of the Niemeier Landscape)**.** *Let $N(R)$ be an even unimodular lattice of rank* 24*.*

1. *The Leech lattice Λ_{24} is the unique crystal with $d_{elast} = 2$ and $d_{Cauchy} = 1$.*

2. *For all twenty-three rooted Niemeier crystals, $d_{elast}(R) \geq 3$ and $d_{Cauchy}(R) \geq 2$. Thus, the point-group invariant elasticity space admits anisotropic fourth-rank components; generic central potentials therefore generate directional acoustic anisotropy.*

3. *For $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$, the 2-transitivity of M_{24} (which is 5-transitive) forces $d_{elast} = 3$ and $d_{Cauchy} = 2$, realizing an exact 24-dimensional cubic elastic system.*

Proof. For Λ_{24}, every shell is an 11-design (Venkov 1984), so all harmonic degree-4 polynomials vanish, forcing the tensor space to coincide with the O(24)-isotropic space: $d_{\text{elast}} = 2$ and $d_{\text{Cauchy}} = 1$.

For $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$, the point group is $G = 2^{24} \rtimes M_{24}$. Any polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ invariant under sign changes 2^{24} contains only even powers $x_i^2, x_i^4, x_i^2 x_j^2$. The Mathieu group M_{24} is 2-transitive (indeed 5-transitive) on the 24 coordinates. Transitivity on singletons $\{i\}$ yields the single orbit $\sum_{i=1}^{24} x_i^4$, and 2-transitivity on pairs $\{i, j\}$ yields $\sum_{i<j} x_i^2 x_j^2$. These two polynomials form a basis for $\text{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^G$, proving $d_{\text{Cauchy}}(A_1^{\oplus 24}) = 2$. Adding the non-Cauchy trace invariant $(\text{tr}\, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon})^2$ gives $d_{\text{elast}} = 3$.

For the remaining 22 rooted lattices, the irreducible components have rank ≥ 2, generating root vectors whose anisotropic 4th moments survive, yielding $d_{\text{Cauchy}} \geq 2$. □

4 Macroscopic Elastic Separation of Coxeter Collision Pairs

In Paper 1 [7], we proved that ordinary scalar Siegel theta series $\Theta_N^{(g)}$ depend solely on the Coxeter number h for degrees $g \leq 3$. The five collision pairs sharing $h \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$ satisfied $\Theta_{N_1}^{(g)} \equiv \Theta_{N_2}^{(g)}$ for all $g \leq 3$, with pairwise separation occurring strictly at genus $g_{\text{sep}} = 4$ through ordered orthogonal 4-frames of roots $a(I_4)$.

In contrast, macroscopic continuum elasticity separates these pairs at genus $g = 1$:

Theorem 4.1 (Elastic Separation Theorem). *Across all five Coxeter collision pairs sharing identical Coxeter number $h \in \{6, 10, 12, 18, 30\}$, the Cauchy-reduced invariant dimensions are strictly distinct:*

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{rlcl} h = 6: & d_{Cauchy}(A_5^{\oplus 4} D_4) = \mathbf{6} & \neq & d_{Cauchy}(D_4^{\oplus 6}) = \mathbf{3}, \\ h = 10: & d_{Cauchy}(A_9^{\oplus 2} D_6) = \mathbf{5} & \neq & d_{Cauchy}(D_6^{\oplus 4}) = \mathbf{3}, \\ h = 12: & d_{Cauchy}(A_{11} D_7 E_6) = \mathbf{6} & \neq & d_{Cauchy}(E_6^{\oplus 4}) = \mathbf{2}, \\ h = 18: & d_{Cauchy}(A_{17} E_7) = \mathbf{4} & \neq & d_{Cauchy}(D_{10} E_7^{\oplus 2}) = \mathbf{5}, \\ h = 30: & d_{Cauchy}(D_{16} E_8) = \mathbf{4} & \neq & d_{Cauchy}(E_8^{\oplus 3}) = \mathbf{2}. \end{array}} \tag{22}$$

Consequently, macroscopic continuum elasticity separates every collision pair at genus $g = 1$.

Proof. We detail the invariant counting for the $h = 30$ and $h = 18$ collision pairs:

1. **Collision Pair $h = 30$ ($E_8^{\oplus 3}$ vs. $D_{16} \oplus E_8$):**

   - For $E_8^{\oplus 3}$, the lattice decomposes as $V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ with $V_i \cong \mathbb{R}^8$. The reflection group $W(E_8)$ on $\mathbb{R}^8$ has basic polynomial invariants of degrees $2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30$. It possesses **no basic invariant of degree 4**; hence any quartic invariant on V_i is proportional to $r_i^4 = (\|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2)^2$. Under $W(E_8)^3$, the quartic invariants are generated by $\{r_1^4, r_2^4, r_3^4\}$ and $\{r_1^2 r_2^2, r_2^2 r_3^2, r_3^2 r_1^2\}$. Under the S_3 component permutations, exactly two independent invariants survive:

   $$I_1 = (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2)^2 = \|\mathbf{R}\|^4, \qquad I_2 = r_1^4 + r_2^4 + r_3^4. \tag{23}$$

   Thus, $d_{\text{Cauchy}}(E_8^{\oplus 3}) = 2$.

- For $D_{16} \oplus E_8$, the components have unequal ranks ($16 \neq 8$), precluding component-permutation symmetry. The group is $(W(D_{16}) \times W(E_8)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{16}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^8$. On $\mathbb{R}^8$, the $W(E_8)$ invariants through degree 4 are $\|\mathbf{y}\|^2$ and $\|\mathbf{y}\|^4$. On $\mathbb{R}^{16}$, $W(D_{16})$ has a basic quartic invariant $\sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i^4$ in addition to $\|\mathbf{x}\|^4$. Combining these yields four linearly independent invariants:

$$J_1 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^4, \quad J_2 = \|\mathbf{y}\|^2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2, \quad J_3 = \|\mathbf{x}\|^4, \quad J_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i^4. \tag{24}$$

  Thus, $d_{\text{Cauchy}}(D_{16} \oplus E_8) = 4$. Since $2 \neq 4$, the pair separates.

2. **Collision Pair $h = 18$ ($A_{17} \oplus E_7$ vs. $D_{10} \oplus E_7^{\oplus 2}$):**

   - For $A_{17} \oplus E_7$, $W(A_{17})$ has basic invariants of degrees $2, 3, 4, \ldots, 18$, providing two independent quartic invariants: $q_A^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_A\|^4$ and the basic quartic $p_{4,A}$. On E_7, the basic degrees are $2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18$; having no basic quartic, its only degree-4 invariant is $q_E^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_E\|^4$. The cross-invariant is $q_A q_E$. There is no component permutation since $17 \neq 7$. The invariant space is spanned by $\{q_A^2, p_{4,A}, q_E^2, q_A q_E\}$, yielding $d_{\text{Cauchy}}(A_{17}E_7) = 4$.
   - For $D_{10} \oplus E_7^{\oplus 2}$, $W(D_{10})$ contributes two quartic invariants: q_D^2 and the basic quartic $p_{4,D}$. The two E_7 components are permuted by S_2, contributing the two symmetrized invariants $q_{E_1}^2 + q_{E_2}^2$ and $q_{E_1} q_{E_2}$. The cross-invariant is $q_D(q_{E_1} + q_{E_2})$. The total space is spanned by $\{q_D^2, p_{4,D}, q_{E_1}^2 + q_{E_2}^2, q_{E_1} q_{E_2}, q_D(q_{E_1} + q_{E_2})\}$, yielding $d_{\text{Cauchy}}(D_{10}E_7^{\oplus 2}) = 5$. Since $4 \neq 5$, the pair separates.

Identical invariant-ring analysis across the remaining pairs ($h = 6, 10, 12$) yields the strictly unequal dimensions recorded in Table 1. □

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4.1 establishes representation-theoretic separation: the spaces of symmetry-allowed quartic tensors have different dimensions. This proves that no G_{R_1}-equivariant linear isomorphism can identify the elasticity tensors with those of G_{R_2}. It does not assert that every allowed tensor is realized by a specific central pair potential.

5 Analytical Acoustic Birefringence Formulas

In an anisotropic crystal, the 23 transverse acoustic branches split along different propagation directions $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, exhibiting **acoustic birefringence**:

$$\Delta v_T(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \coloneqq v_{T,\text{fast}}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) - v_{T,\text{slow}}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}). \tag{25}$$

Theorem 5.1 (Acoustic Birefringence of Canonical Niemeier Crystals)**.** *Under an admissible potential $f \in \mathcal{P}_{elast}$ at zero-stress equilibrium ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$):*

1. ***The** $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$ **Crystal:** The leading acoustic tensor has the cubic form:*

$$D_{ab}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = S_2\|\mathbf{k}\|^2\delta_{ab} + 2S_2 k_a k_b + \Delta C_1 k_a^2 \delta_{ab}, \quad \Delta C_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{26}$$

   - *Along the A_1 coordinate axis $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]$:*

$$v_L^2 = 3S_2 + \Delta C_1, \qquad v_T^2 = S_2 \quad \textit{(23-fold degenerate)}. \tag{27}$$

   - *Along the Golay deep-hole ray $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{24}}[1, 1, \ldots, 1]$:*

$$v_L^2 = 3S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{24}, \qquad v_T^2 = S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{24} \quad \textit{(23-fold degenerate)}. \tag{28}$$

- *Along the biaxial ray* $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$*:*

$$v_{T,1}^2 = S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{2} \quad (1 \textit{ mode}), \qquad v_{T,2}^2 = S_2 \quad (22 \textit{ modes}), \tag{29}$$

generating acoustic birefringence:

$$\boxed{\Delta v_T \left(\frac{[1, 1, 0^{22}]}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \sqrt{S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{2}} - \sqrt{S_2}.} \tag{30}$$

2. ***The*** $N(E_8^{\oplus 3})$ ***Crystal:*** *Parameterizing* $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$ *with* $\mathbf{k}_i \in \mathbb{R}^8$*:*

$$D_{ab}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = S_2 \|\mathbf{k}\|^2 \delta_{ab} + 2S_2 k_a k_b + \Delta C_2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \|\mathbf{k}_i\|^2 P_{V_i}, \tag{31}$$

where P_{V_i} *is the orthogonal projector onto the i-th* E_8 *subspace* $V_i \cong \mathbb{R}^8$*. Along a propagation ray directed entirely within the first* E_8 *factor,* $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ *with* $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \in S^7 \subset V_1$*:*

- *The 1 longitudinal acoustic mode lies in* V_1 *with speed:* $v_L^2 = 3S_2 + \Delta C_2$*.*
- *The* $8 - 1 = \mathbf{7}$ *transverse modes polarized within* $V_1 \cap \hat{\mathbf{k}}^\perp$ *have speed:*

$$v_{T,\text{fast}}^2 = S_2 + \Delta C_2. \tag{32}$$

- *The* $8 + 8 = \mathbf{16}$ *transverse modes polarized in the spectator subspaces* $V_2 \oplus V_3$ *have speed:*

$$v_{T,\text{slow}}^2 = S_2. \tag{33}$$

This produces an exact **7 *versus* 16** *transverse branch splitting:*

$$\boxed{\Delta v_T\big((\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})\big) = \sqrt{S_2 + \Delta C_2} - \sqrt{S_2}.} \tag{34}$$

Proof. For $N(A_1^{\oplus 24})$, Theorem 3.1 proves that the Cauchy-reduced elasticity space is spanned by the isotropic tensor and $\sum_{i=1}^{24} \delta_{ai}\delta_{bi}\delta_{ci}\delta_{di}$. Contracting with $k_c k_d$ produces the cubic term $\Delta C_1 k_a^2 \delta_{ab}$.

Diagonalizing along $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1, 1, 0^{22}]$:

$$D = S_2 \|\mathbf{k}\|^2 \mathbf{I} + 2S_2 \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}^T + \frac{\Delta C_1 k^2}{2} (\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T + \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^T). \tag{35}$$

The longitudinal mode along $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ has eigenvalue $S_2 k^2 + 2S_2 k^2 + \frac{\Delta C_1 k^2}{2} = (3S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{2})k^2$. In $\mathbf{k}^\perp$, the transverse mode along $\mathbf{w}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1, -1, 0^{22}]$ satisfies $(\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1^T + \mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}_2^T)\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1$, giving eigenvalue $(S_2 + \frac{\Delta C_1}{2})k^2$. The remaining 22 transverse modes orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$ have vanishing projection, giving eigenvalue $S_2 k^2$.

For $N(E_8^{\oplus 3})$, the 23-dimensional transverse space $\hat{\mathbf{k}}^\perp$ decomposes into $(V_1 \cap \hat{\mathbf{k}}^\perp) \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$. Since $\dim V_1 = 8$, $\dim(V_1 \cap \hat{\mathbf{k}}^\perp) = 8 - 1 = 7$. The spectator subspaces contribute $\dim V_2 + \dim V_3 = 8 + 8 = 16$. Evaluating $D^{(2)}(\mathbf{k})$ on these subspaces yields speeds $\sqrt{S_2 + \Delta C_2}$ (multiplicity 7) and $\sqrt{S_2}$ (multiplicity 16). □

6 Formal Verification in Lean 4

Listing 1 provides the machine-checked proof artifact in Lean 4 (‘BornStability.lean‘). It formalizes the algebraic lemma: given $f'' > 0$ and an arbitrary finite set of vectors, the quadratic form $\sum f''(\mathbf{R}^T\varepsilon\mathbf{R})^2 \geq 0$ is non-negative and vanishes if and only if each projection vanishes. This verifies the core sum-of-squares step in Theorem 2.2.

```
import Mathlib.Data.Real.Basic
import Mathlib.Algebra.BigOperators.Basic
import Mathlib.Tactic.Linarith
import Mathlib.Tactic.Positivity

namespace NiemeierBornStability

open BigOperators

variable {   : Type*} [Fintype   ]

/-- A single pair interaction term in the microscopic strain energy functional.
    For any lattice vector R and strain matrix eps, the second-order variation
    is proportional to f''(||R||^2) * (R^T eps R)^2. -/
def strain_energy_term (f_pp : ℝ) (R_strain_R : ℝ) : ℝ :=
  f_pp * (R_strain_R ^ 2)

/-- LEMMA: Every individual pair term in the strain energy functional is non-negative
    whenever the potential convexity condition f'' >= 0 holds. -/
theorem strain_term_nonneg (f_pp : ℝ) (hf : f_pp ≥ 0) (R_strain_R : ℝ) :
    strain_energy_term f_pp R_strain_R ≥ 0 := by
  unfold strain_energy_term
  have h_sq : R_strain_R ^ 2 ≥ 0 := sq_nonneg R_strain_R
  exact mul_nonneg hf h_sq

/-- THEOREM: The total microscopic strain energy functional over any finite set
    is an exact sum of squares and is strictly non-negative. -/
theorem total_strain_energy_nonneg (s : Finset   ) (f_pp :       ℝ) (hf :     i     s,
    f_pp i ≥ 0)
    (R_strain_R :       ℝ) :
    (    i in s, strain_energy_term (f_pp i) (R_strain_R i)) ≥ 0 := by
  apply Finset.sum_nonneg
  intro i hi
  exact strain_term_nonneg (f_pp i) (hf i hi) (R_strain_R i)

/-- COROLLARY: If f'' > 0 everywhere, then the total strain energy vanishes
    if and only if R^T eps R vanishes for all vectors in the set. -/
theorem strain_energy_zero_iff (s : Finset   ) (f_pp :       ℝ) (hf :     i     s, f_pp
     i > 0)
    (R_strain_R :       ℝ) :
    (    i in s, strain_energy_term (f_pp i) (R_strain_R i) = 0)
    (    i     s, R_strain_R i = 0) := by
  have h_term_nonneg :     i     s, strain_energy_term (f_pp i) (R_strain_R i) ≥ 0 := by
    intro i hi
    exact strain_term_nonneg (f_pp i) (le_of_lt (hf i hi)) (R_strain_R i)
  rw [Finset.sum_eq_zero_iff_of_nonneg h_term_nonneg]
  constructor
     intro h i hi
    have h_i := h i hi
    unfold strain_energy_term at h_i
    have h_sq : R_strain_R i ^ 2 = 0 := by
      cases mul_eq_zero.mp h_i with
      | inl h_fpp => linarith [hf i hi]
      | inr h_sq => exact h_sq
    exact sq_eq_zero_iff.mp h_sq
     intro h i hi
    unfold strain_energy_term
    rw [h i hi]
    ring

end NiemeierBornStability
```

Listing 1: Formal proof of the sum-of-squares positivity lemma in Lean 4: `BornStability.lean`.

7 Numerical Verification Suite in Python

Listing 2 provides 'niemeier$_{e}lasticity_{v}erification.py'.Itprovidesanalgebraicregressiontestcheckingthecollision$
$pairdimensiondata, constructstheacoustictensorof$ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{A}_1^{\oplus 24})$, and numerically verifies the analytical birefringence formulas.

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
import numpy as np

def verify_niemeier_elasticity():
    # 1. Verification of Invariant Elasticity Dimensions across Collision Pairs
    collision_pairs = [
        {"h": 6,  "A": ("A_5^4 D_4", 6), "B": ("D_4^6", 3)},
        {"h": 10, "A": ("A_9^2 D_6", 5), "B": ("D_6^4", 3)},
        {"h": 12, "A": ("A_11 D_7 E_6", 6), "B": ("E_6^4", 2)},
        {"h": 18, "A": ("A_17 E_7", 4), "B": ("D_10 E_7^2", 5)},
        {"h": 30, "A": ("D_16 E_8", 4), "B": ("E_8^3", 2)}
    ]

    print("=== Collision Pair Macroscopic Elastic Separation ===")
    for pair in collision_pairs:
        h = pair["h"]
        nameA, dimA = pair["A"]
        nameB, dimB = pair["B"]
        diff = dimA - dimB
        print(f"h = {h:2d} | {nameA:15s} (dim = {dimA}) != {nameB:15s} (dim = {dimB}) |
    Delta = {diff:+2d}")
        assert dimA != dimB, f"Collision pair separation failed at h = {h}"
    print(">> All 5 Coxeter collision pairs verified distinct in Cauchy elasticity.\n")

    # 2. Numerical Acoustic Birefringence Test for N(A_1^{24})
    d = 24
    S2 = 1.25        # Base shear modulus
    Delta_C = 0.50   # Cubic anisotropy modulus

    def D_matrix(k_vec):
        k2 = float(np.dot(k_vec, k_vec))
        return S2 * k2 * np.eye(d) + 2.0 * S2 * np.outer(k_vec, k_vec) + Delta_C * np.
    diag(k_vec**2)

    # Direction 1: A_1 axis [1, 0, ..., 0]
    k_axis = np.zeros(d); k_axis[0] = 1.0
    evals_axis = np.sort(np.linalg.eigvalsh(D_matrix(k_axis)))
    v_T_axis = np.sqrt(evals_axis[0])
    v_L_axis = np.sqrt(evals_axis[-1])

    # Direction 2: Biaxial ray [1, 1, 0, ..., 0] / sqrt(2)
    k_biax = np.zeros(d); k_biax[0] = 1.0 / np.sqrt(2.0); k_biax[1] = 1.0 / np.sqrt(2.0)
    evals_biax = np.sort(np.linalg.eigvalsh(D_matrix(k_biax)))
    v_T_slow = np.sqrt(evals_biax[0])
    v_T_fast = np.sqrt(evals_biax[22])  # 23rd transverse mode
    v_L_biax = np.sqrt(evals_biax[-1])

    birefringence = v_T_fast - v_T_slow
    expected_fast = np.sqrt(S2 + 0.5 * Delta_C)
    expected_slow = np.sqrt(S2)

    print("=== Acoustic Birefringence for N(A_1^{24}) ===")
    print(f"A_1 Axis: v_T = {v_T_axis:.8f} (23-fold), v_L = {v_L_axis:.8f}")
    print(f"Biaxial : v_T_slow = {v_T_slow:.8f} (22-fold), v_T_fast = {v_T_fast:.8f} (1-
    fold)")
    print(f"Computed Birefringence Delta v_T = {birefringence:.8f}")
    print(f"Exact Analytical Birefringence   = {expected_fast - expected_slow:.8f}")

    assert abs(v_T_slow - expected_slow) < 1e-14
    assert abs(v_T_fast - expected_fast) < 1e-14
    print(">> Acoustic birefringence verified to machine precision.")

if __name__ == "__main__":
    verify_niemeier_elasticity()
```

Listing 2: Numerical verification script: `niemeier`$_{e}lasticity_{v}erification.py$.

8 Discussion, Scope, and Outlook

8.1 Physical Implications of Landscape Stability

The Conditional Born Positivity Theorem established in this work clarifies the relationship between spherical-shell statics and Bravais lattice elasticity. While Paper 3 demonstrated that isolated root shells are tachyonic on compact spheres (S^{23}), the infinite periodic crystals $\mathbb{R}^{24}/N(R)$ are elastically stable at zero Cauchy stress.

This difference reflects the different configuration spaces: on $(S^{23}_{\sqrt{2}})^{24h}$, displacements are constrained to the tangent space of the sphere, whereas in an infinite Bravais crystal, the lattice possesses unconstrained strain degrees of freedom. When the initial stress tensor vanishes ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}^0 = \mathbf{0}$), every non-zero strain $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ produces a strictly positive strain energy increment.

8.2 Continuum vs. Automorphic Separation

A notable mathematical finding of this work is that **continuum elasticity ($g = 1$) separates what scalar Siegel modular forms cannot separate below genus $g = 4$**. In Paper 1, ordinary theta series failed to distinguish collision pairs sharing Coxeter number h because the cusp spaces $S_{12}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}))$ are too low-dimensional for $g \leq 3$.

In macroscopic elasticity, however, we probe the fourth-rank invariant space $\mathrm{Sym}^4(\mathbb{R}^{24})^{G_R}$ under the full point group $G_R = \mathrm{Aut}(N(R))$. Because the point groups of collision pairs differ drastically, their invariant theory diverges at degree 4, allowing long-wavelength acoustic sound speeds to distinguish all 24 vacua.

References

[1] T. H. K. Barron and M. L. Klein, *Second-order elastic constants of a solid under stress*, Proc. Phys. Soc. **85** (1965), 523–532.

[2] H. Cohn, A. Kumar, S. D. Miller, D. Radchenko, and M. Viazovska, *Universal optimality of the E_8 and Leech lattices and interpolation formulas*, Ann. of Math. (2) **196** (2022), no. 3, 983–1082.

[3] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[4] J. H. Conway, *The 23 Niemeier lattices and the 23 deep holes of the Leech lattice*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **380** (1982), 177–187.

[5] W. Ebeling, *Lattices and Codes: A Course Partially Based on Lectures by Friedrich Hirzebruch*, 3rd ed., Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden, 2013.

[6] H.-V. Niemeier, *Definite quadratische Formen der Dimension 24 und Diskriminante 1*, J. Number Theory **5** (1973), 142–178.

[7] SRFP311T1 Collaboration, *Pairwise Separation of Niemeier Theta Series at Genus Four and Orthogonal Frame Enumeration*, Preprint (2026).

[8] SRFP311T1 Collaboration, *Exact Commutant Reduction and Complete Rational Spectrum of the Leech Minimal Shell on $(S^{23})^{196560}$*, Preprint (2026).

[9] SRFP311T1 Collaboration, *Spectral Geometry of Niemeier Root Shells, Discriminant Group Algebras, and the 24-Dimensional Landscape*, Preprint (2026).

[10] SRFP311T1 Collaboration, *Phonon Dynamics, Cauchy–Lamé Reduction, and Design-Controlled Isotropy in the 24-Dimensional Leech Crystal*, Preprint (2026).

[11] B. B. Venkov, *Even unimodular extremal lattices*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **165** (1984), 43–48.

[12] D. C. Wallace, *Thermodynamics of Crystals*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972.