

A Detailed Reconstruction of the Periodic Uniqueness Argument

for the Leech Lattice in Dimension 24

An Expository Analysis of the Equality and Structure-Factor Argument
of Cohn–Kumar–Miller–Radchenko–Viazovska

SRFP311T1 Collaboration

September 2026

Abstract

In their 2017 proof of the optimal sphere-packing density in dimension 24, Cohn, Kumar, Miller, Radchenko, and Viazovska (CKMRV) established that the Leech lattice Λ_{24} is the unique periodic configuration attaining density $\frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$. The uniqueness argument in their Section 7 contains an elegant structural mechanism: starting from an arbitrary optimal periodic packing, one forms the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span $M = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ of its centers and proves that M is an even unimodular lattice with no vectors of squared norm 2.

This note gives a detailed expository reconstruction of that argument, conditional on the CKMRV auxiliary-function construction, the dimension-24 sphere-packing bound, and the Niemeier classification. We derive the equality conditions in the periodic Poisson summation formula, establish the lattice inclusions $L \subseteq M \subseteq L^*$, and explain how the Fourier structure factor excludes roots of M . In particular, if $\mathbf{r} \in M$ had squared norm 2, the Fourier equality condition would force $S(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, whereas integrality of M forces $S(\mathbf{r}) = m^2 > 0$, a contradiction.

We also present an explicit five-point configuration in D_4 illustrating why pairwise distance constraints alone do not prevent the integer span of a point set from containing a root. Finally, a covolume squeeze yields $\text{Vol}(M) = 1$ and $[M : L] = m$, after which the Niemeier classification identifies $M \cong \Lambda_{24}$ and coset exhaustion proves $X = M$. Thus the argument explains explicitly how the lattice structure emerges intrinsically from an arbitrary optimal periodic configuration.

1 Introduction

In 2017, Cohn, Kumar, Miller, Radchenko, and Viazovska [2] completed the breakthrough proof that the maximum sphere-packing density in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ is $\frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$, saturated uniquely by the Leech lattice Λ_{24} . While their universal upper bound has received extensive exposition, the uniqueness argument for periodic configurations—presented compactly in Section 7 of [2]—contains several subtle structural mechanisms that warrant a line-by-line examination.

The goal of this note is purely expository:

1. To provide a fully expanded, self-contained derivation of the periodic uniqueness argument of [2], explicitly identifying all imported theorems.
2. To clarify why the integer span $M = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ forms an even integral lattice satisfying the fundamental inclusion $L \subseteq M \subseteq L^*$.
3. To exhibit an explicit five-point configuration in D_4 showing that a generating set can satisfy the pairwise exclusion condition $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq 4$ everywhere while its integer span

contains root vectors of squared norm 2, demonstrating why real-space distance geometry alone cannot prove root-exclusion without the Fourier structure factor.

4. To emphasize that the resulting theorem establishes that X is congruent to Λ_{24} as an intrinsic point set, while the initial period lattice L is a sub-lattice of index m .

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be a periodic configuration of centers of open unit balls ($R = 1$). The configuration is expressed as a finite union of translates of a full-rank period lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$:

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L), \quad \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{24}, \quad (1)$$

where the representatives $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ are pairwise distinct modulo L .

The non-overlapping packing condition is

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\| \geq 2 \quad \text{for all distinct } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in X. \quad (2)$$

The packing density $\delta(X)$ is defined by

$$\delta(X) = \frac{m \operatorname{Vol}(B_{24}(1))}{\operatorname{Vol}(L)} = \frac{m}{\operatorname{Vol}(L)} \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}, \quad (3)$$

where $\operatorname{Vol}(B_{24}(1)) = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$ is the Euclidean volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$.

The sphere-packing theorem of CKMRV [2] establishes that

$$\delta(X) \leq \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!} \quad (4)$$

with equality attained by the Leech lattice Λ_{24} . Our purpose is to reconstruct uniqueness among periodic configurations directly from the equality conditions.

Theorem 1.1 (Uniqueness of Optimal Periodic Packings [2]). *Let $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L) \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be a periodic packing of unit spheres achieving density*

$$\delta(X) = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}.$$

Then X is congruent to the Leech lattice Λ_{24} .

2 Equality Conditions and Covolume of L

We import the radial auxiliary function constructed by CKMRV [2].

Theorem 2.1 (Imported Auxiliary Function [2]). *There exists a radial Schwartz function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{24})$ such that:*

1. *Normalization:* $f(\mathbf{0}) = \widehat{f}(\mathbf{0}) = 1$;
2. *Real Sign Condition:* $f(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ for $\|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 2$;
3. *Dual Positivity:* $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}) \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{24}$;
4. *Real Zeros:* On $\|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 2$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \iff \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots\}$;
5. *Dual Zeros:* For $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}) = 0 \iff \|\mathbf{k}\|^2 \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots\}$. In particular, $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}) > 0$ whenever $0 < \|\mathbf{k}\|^2 < 4$.

We adopt the standard Fourier transform convention:

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{24}} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k} \rangle} d\mathbf{x}. \quad (5)$$

For the periodic configuration X , the Poisson summation formula yields:

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in L} f(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_\ell + \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(L)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in L^*} S(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}), \quad (6)$$

where L^* is the reciprocal lattice of L ($\text{Vol}(L^*) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(L)}$), and $S(\mathbf{k})$ is the dual structure factor:

$$S(\mathbf{k}) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \right|^2 \geq 0, \quad S(\mathbf{0}) = m^2. \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2.2 (Covolume of the Period Lattice). *If X attains the optimal density $\delta(X) = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$, then*

$$\text{Vol}(L) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}(L^*) = \frac{1}{m}.$$

Proof. By the packing condition (2), the only terms on the left-hand side of (6) with $\|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_\ell + \mathbf{x}\| < 2$ are the m diagonal terms where $j = \ell$ and $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, each contributing $f(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. Every other displacement has norm ≥ 2 , where $f \leq 0$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j,\ell=1}^m \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in L} f(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_\ell + \mathbf{x}) \leq m. \quad (8)$$

On the Fourier side, all summands are non-negative. Isolating $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$ gives:

$$\frac{m^2}{\text{Vol}(L)} \leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(L)} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in L^*} S(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}). \quad (9)$$

Combining (8) and (9) yields $\frac{m^2}{\text{Vol}(L)} \leq m$, whence $\text{Vol}(L) \geq m$.

On the other hand, the density is $\delta(X) = \frac{m}{\text{Vol}(L)} \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!} = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$, which forces $\frac{m}{\text{Vol}(L)} = 1$. Therefore, $\text{Vol}(L) = m$ and $\text{Vol}(L^*) = \frac{1}{m}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.3 (Exact Equality Vanishing Conditions). *For every non-zero displacement $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \in X - X$,*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots\} \subset 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, the dual vanishing condition holds:

$$S(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{f}(\mathbf{k}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \mathbf{k} \in L^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. In Lemma 2.2, $\frac{m^2}{\text{Vol}(L)} = m$, so equality holds throughout (6). Consequently, every non-zero real displacement must evaluate to a zero of f , which by Theorem 2.1 gives the displacement spectrum. Likewise, every non-zero Fourier contribution must vanish, establishing (11). $\square$

3 The Primal Span and the Backbone $L \subseteq M \subseteq L^*$

Without loss of generality, we translate the configuration X so that $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{0} \in X$.

Definition 3.1 (The Primal Span Lattice M). We define M as the $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear span of the point configuration:

$$M := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) = L + \sum_{j=2}^m \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{x}_j \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 3.2 (Dual Inclusion). *The period lattice L is even, every point $\mathbf{x}_j \in X$ belongs to the reciprocal lattice L^* , and the primal span satisfies:*

$$\boxed{L \subseteq M \subseteq L^*}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{0} \in X$, for any $\ell \in L \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\ell = \mathbf{0} - (-\ell) \in X - X$. Corollary 2.3 implies $\|\ell\|^2 \in \{4, 6, 8, \dots\} \subset 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus L is an even lattice, so $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|\ell_1 + \ell_2\|^2 - \|\ell_1\|^2 - \|\ell_2\|^2) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which gives $L \subseteq L^*$.

Next, fix $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\ell \in L$. Both $\mathbf{x}_j$ and $\mathbf{x}_j + \ell$ belong to X . Since $\mathbf{0} \in X$, Corollary 2.3 implies $\|\mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_j + \ell\|^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. When $\mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{0}$, $\langle \mathbf{x}_j, \ell \rangle = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ trivially. When $\mathbf{x}_j \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\ell \neq \mathbf{0}$, polarization yields:

$$2\langle \mathbf{x}_j, \ell \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}_j + \ell\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_j\|^2 - \|\ell\|^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} - 2\mathbb{Z} - 2\mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}. \quad (14)$$

Dividing by 2 gives $\langle \mathbf{x}_j, \ell \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\ell \in L$. By definition of the reciprocal lattice $L^* := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{24} : \langle \mathbf{y}, \ell \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \forall \ell \in L\}$, this establishes that $\mathbf{x}_j \in L^*$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Since $L \subseteq L^*$ and every $\mathbf{x}_j \in L^*$, every integer linear combination of these generators lies in L^* . Thus $L \subseteq M \subseteq L^*$. $\square$

Theorem 3.3 (Even Integrality of M). *The lattice M is an even integral lattice of rank 24.*

Proof. For any two generators $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in X$, both $\|\mathbf{u}\|^2$ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ are even integers (since $\mathbf{0} \in X$), and $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ by Corollary 2.3. Polarization gives:

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2) \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, all entries of the Gram matrix of generators are integers. For any arbitrary element $\mathbf{w} = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{u}_i \in M$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{u}_i \in X$, the squared norm expands as:

$$\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = \sum_i a_i^2 \|\mathbf{u}_i\|^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} a_i a_j \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, for any $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in M$, bilinearity forces $\langle \mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $L \subseteq M$ and $\text{rank}(L) = 24$, M is an even integral lattice of rank 24. $\square$

4 An Explicit D_4 Counterexample to Real-Space Root Exclusion

It is tempting to conjecture that because all pairwise differences in X satisfy $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq 4$, the integer span $M = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ must automatically have minimum non-zero squared norm ≥ 4 .

However, an arbitrary integer combination $\mathbf{w} = \sum a_i \mathbf{u}_i$ is not generally a pairwise difference $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}$. The following construction is not a periodic packing; it is an algebraic counterexample illustrating why pairwise distance constraints on a generating set do not imply a minimum-norm bound on its $\mathbb{Z}$ -span:

Example 4.1 (A Root-Generating Configuration in D_4). Consider the standard root lattice $D_4 \subset \mathbb{Z}^4$. Define the five-point configuration $X = \{\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4\} \subset D_4$ by:

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The exact 5×5 Gram matrix $G_{ij} = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$ is:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -4 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -4 & 8 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 6 & -3 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 & -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The resulting 5×5 squared distance matrix $D_{ij}^2 = \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 = G_{ii} + G_{jj} - 2G_{ij}$ is:

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 & 8 & 6 & 6 \\ 6 & 0 & 22 & 12 & 6 \\ 8 & 22 & 0 & 22 & 18 \\ 6 & 12 & 22 & 0 & 18 \\ 6 & 6 & 18 & 18 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

Every non-zero pairwise squared distance satisfies:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 \in \{6, 8, 12, 18, 22\} \subset 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}. \quad (19)$$

No two points in X have squared distance 2. Nevertheless, consider the integer linear combination:

$$\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{x}_2 + \mathbf{x}_3 + \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The vector $\mathbf{r} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ has squared norm:

$$\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = 0^2 + 0^2 + (-1)^2 + 1^2 = \mathbf{2}. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the integer span $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ contains a root vector, despite X strictly satisfying the pairwise exclusion condition $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \geq 4$.

Example 4.1 shows that root exclusion cannot be derived from real-space exclusions alone. It requires the dual Fourier equality equations.

5 Root Exclusion via the Structure Factor (The CKMRV Argument)

We now isolate the root-exclusion step of the CKMRV argument [2]:

Theorem 5.1 (Root Exclusion in M [2]). *The lattice M contains no vector of squared norm 2:*

$$\boxed{M \cap \{\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{24} : \|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = 2\} = \emptyset}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists a vector $\mathbf{r} \in M$ with $\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = 2$.

By Lemma 3.2, $M \subseteq L^*$. Therefore:

$$\mathbf{r} \in L^* \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}. \quad (23)$$

The dual Fourier equality condition (11) of Corollary 2.3 evaluated at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{r}$ requires:

$$S(\mathbf{r})\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \quad (24)$$

Since $\|\mathbf{r}\|^2 = 2$, Theorem 2.1 (item 5) implies that $\mathbf{r}$ is not a zero of $\hat{f}$. Because $\hat{f} \geq 0$ everywhere, it follows that $\hat{f}(\mathbf{r}) > 0$. Consequently, equation (24) forces:

$$S(\mathbf{r}) = 0. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, we compute $S(\mathbf{r})$ directly from its definition. By Theorem 3.3, M is an integral lattice. Since $\mathbf{r} \in M$ and each representative $\mathbf{x}_j \in X \subseteq M$, the inner product between them is strictly an integer:

$$\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, every phase factor in the structure factor evaluates to unity:

$$e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = 1 \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, m\}. \quad (27)$$

Using the definition of the structure factor (7):

$$S(\mathbf{r}) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \right|^2 = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m 1 \right|^2 = m^2. \quad (28)$$

Because $m \geq 1$, $S(\mathbf{r}) = m^2 \geq 1 > 0$.

Equations (25) and (28) contradict each other ($0 = m^2 \geq 1$). Hence, no such vector $\mathbf{r}$ can exist in M . $\square$

Corollary 5.2 (Rootless Primal Lattice). *The lattice M satisfies:*

$$\min_{\mathbf{w} \in M \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq 4. \quad (29)$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, M is an even integral lattice, so its squared norms are even integers. The hard-core exclusion condition rules out $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = 0$, and Theorem 5.1 rules out $\|\mathbf{w}\|^2 = 2$. Thus $\min_{\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq 4$. $\square$

6 The Covolume Squeeze

Lemma 6.1 (Coset Index Upper Bound). *The inclusion $L \subseteq M$ satisfies $[M : L] \geq m$, and consequently:*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \leq 1. \quad (30)$$

Proof. The representatives $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ define m pairwise disjoint cosets of L . Since $X \subset M$, all m disjoint cosets $\mathbf{x}_j + L$ are contained in M . Therefore, $[M : L] \geq m$. Using $\text{Vol}(L) = m$ from Lemma 2.2:

$$\text{Vol}(M) = \frac{\text{Vol}(L)}{[M : L]} \leq \frac{m}{m} = 1. \quad (31)$$

$\square$

Lemma 6.2 (Covolume Bound from the Dimension-24 Packing Theorem). *The covolume of M satisfies:*

$$\text{Vol}(M) \geq 1. \quad (32)$$

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, M is a full-rank lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ with minimum squared norm at least 4. Open balls of radius 1 centered at points of M form a non-overlapping sphere packing. By the established dimension-24 sphere-packing theorem of CKMRV [2], no sphere packing of unit balls in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ can exceed density $\frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$. Applying this bound directly to the lattice packing M gives:

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_{24}(1))}{\text{Vol}(M)} \leq \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!} = \text{Vol}(B_{24}(1)). \quad (33)$$

Dividing both sides by $\text{Vol}(B_{24}(1))$ yields:

$$\text{Vol}(M) \geq 1. \quad (34)$$

□

Theorem 6.3 (Covolume Squeeze on M). *The lattice M is unimodular:*

$$\boxed{\text{Vol}(M) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [M : L] = m}. \quad (35)$$

Proof. Combining (31) and (34) yields $1 \leq \text{Vol}(M) \leq 1$, so $\text{Vol}(M) = 1$. Substituting this into $\text{Vol}(M) = \frac{m}{[M:L]}$ forces $[M : L] = m$. □

7 Identification of the Lattice with Λ_{24}

Theorem 7.1 (Unimodular Rootless Structure). *The lattice M is isometric to the Leech lattice Λ_{24} .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, M is an even integral lattice of rank 24. By Theorem 6.3, it has covolume 1, so it is an even unimodular lattice. Moreover, Corollary 5.2 establishes that $\min_{\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq 4$, so M contains no roots of squared norm 2.

By the classification of positive-definite even unimodular lattices of rank 24 (Niemeier [3]), there exist exactly 24 isometry classes, and the Leech lattice Λ_{24} is the unique class with no roots. Therefore:

$$M \cong \Lambda_{24}. \quad (36)$$

□

8 Coset Exhaustion and Main Theorem

We now identify the periodic configuration X with the lattice M .

Lemma 8.1 (Coset Exhaustion). *Let $L \subseteq M$ be lattices of full rank in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$, and suppose $[M : L] = m$. If $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ represent distinct cosets of L in M , then*

$$M = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L). \quad (37)$$

Proof. The quotient group M/L has order $[M : L] = m$. Since the representatives $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ define m pairwise disjoint cosets of L contained in M , they form a complete system of coset representatives for M/L . Their union therefore exhausts M completely. □

Theorem 8.2 (Proof of Theorem 1.1). *Let $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L) \subset \mathbb{R}^{24}$ be a periodic sphere packing achieving the Cohn–Elkies upper bound $\delta(X) = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!}$. Then X is congruent to Λ_{24} .*

Proof. By definition, $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L)$. Since $L \subseteq M$ and every representative $\mathbf{x}_j$ belongs to M by construction, each coset $\mathbf{x}_j + L$ is contained in M . Thus $X \subseteq M$.

By Theorem 6.3, the index satisfies $[M : L] = m$. Because the m points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ are pairwise distinct modulo L , Lemma 8.1 establishes:

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\mathbf{x}_j + L) \equiv M. \quad (38)$$

By Theorem 7.1, $M \cong \Lambda_{24}$. Therefore, X is congruent to Λ_{24} . $\square$

9 Remarks on the Period Lattice

The conclusion of Theorem 8.2 concerns the intrinsic center configuration X , not the particular period lattice L appearing in an arbitrary periodic presentation.

Once $X = \Lambda_{24}$, any finite-index sublattice $L \subseteq \Lambda_{24}$ can serve as a period lattice, provided the chosen representatives $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$ form a complete system of coset representatives for Λ_{24}/L . In that presentation:

$$m = [\Lambda_{24} : L] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Vol}(L) = m \text{Vol}(\Lambda_{24}) = m. \quad (39)$$

Thus, the periodic presentation need not have $m = 1$ relative to an arbitrarily chosen period lattice L . Rather, the point set X itself is an additive lattice congruent to Λ_{24} , which possesses a primitive period representation with $m = 1$.

10 Conclusion

Starting from an arbitrary periodic configuration, we form the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of its centers, $M = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$. The equality conditions force this span to be an even unimodular rootless lattice, and the coset-exhaustion argument then shows that the original configuration coincides with that lattice:

$ \begin{aligned} \text{Optimal Density } \delta(X) = \frac{\pi^{12}}{12!} &\implies \text{Vol}(L) = m \quad \text{and} \quad \ \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\ ^2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \\ &\implies L \subseteq M \subseteq L^* \\ &\implies \text{Fourier root exclusion via } S(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \text{ vs. } S(\mathbf{r}) = m^2 \\ &\implies \min(M \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})^2 \geq 4 \implies \text{Vol}(M) \geq 1 \\ &\implies [M : L] \geq m \implies \text{Vol}(M) \leq 1 \\ &\implies \text{Vol}(M) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [M : L] = m \\ &\implies M \cong \Lambda_{24} \quad (\text{Niemeier 1973}) \\ &\implies X = M \cong \Lambda_{24} \quad (\text{Coset Exhaustion}). \end{aligned} $

(40)

The essential point is that no lattice hypothesis is imposed on the original packing. The lattice emerges intrinsically through the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of the centers. The Fourier structure factor excludes roots in that span, while the primal and dual covolume estimates force unimodularity, locking the configuration uniquely into the Leech lattice.

References

- [1] H. Cohn and N. Elkies, *New upper bounds on sphere packings I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 2, 689–714.
- [2] H. Cohn, A. Kumar, S. D. Miller, D. Radchenko, and M. Viazovska, *The sphere packing problem in dimension 24*, Ann. of Math. (2) **185** (2017), no. 3, 1017–1033.
- [3] H.-V. Niemeier, *Definite quadratische Formen der Dimension 24 und Diskriminate 1*, J. Number Theory **5** (1973), 142–178.